

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,480	02/06/2004	Michel Dib	FRAV2003/0003 US NP	7069	
5487 ANDREA Q. F	7590 09/29/2008 RYAN	EXAMINER			
SANOFI-AVE	NTIS U.S. LLC	KIM, JENNIFER M			
1041 ROUTE 202-206 MAIL CODE: D303A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIDGEWAT	ER, NJ 08807		1617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatent.E-Filing@sanofi-aventis.com andrea.ryan@sanofi-aventis.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/773,480	DIB ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JENNIFER M. KIM	1617		

	JENNIFER M. KIM	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	,		
	LIENNIEED MIZIM		

/JENNIFER M KIM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. Applicants argue that summary table companing the respective affinities of the compound of the present invention compared with cyamemazine and shows the ratio of SHT2a/D2 is 0.21 for cyamemazine and a higher ratio (0.29) for the compounds of the present invention than that of cyamemazine. Therefore, the compounds of the present invention than that of cyamemazine. Therefore, the compounds of the present invention clearly have a better drug profile than cyamemazine, and this property, while not only unexpected, was also highly unpredictable. This is not found to be persuasive because the evidence relied upon should establish that the differences in results are in fact unexpected and unotivious and of both statistical and practical significance." Ex parte Gelles, 22 USPG2d 1318, 1319 (8d. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) (Mere conclusions in appellants brief that the claimed polymer had an unexpectedly increased impact strength fare not entitle to the weight of conclusions accompanying the evidence, wither in the specification or in a declaration."). See MPEP 716.02 (b). It is suggested that Applicants submit a declaration to clearly establish a surprising and unexpected result using Applicants teaching. Applicants assert that the Examiner has rejoined the method of use claims because claims 1,3-5 and 8-20 are currently pending in the case as indicated in the Office Action Summary (PTOL-326). This is incorrect since the PTOL-326 also indicates that the claims 8-20 are withdrate from consideration. Therefore, the amendment filed August 27, 2008 is non-compliant because claims 8-20 have not been provided with the proper status identifier.